Convergence of Offline Gradient Method with Smoothing $L_{1/2}$ Regularization for Two-layer of Neural Network
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ABSTRACT: In this paper, we study the convergence of offline gradient method with smoothing $L_{1/2}$ regularization penalty for training multi-output feed forward neural networks. The monotonicity of the error function and weight boundedness for the offline gradient with smoothing $L_{1/2}$ regularization. The usual $L_{1/2}$ regularization term involves absolute value and is not differentiable at the origin. The key point of this paper is modify the usual $L_{1/2}$ regularization term by smoothing it at the origin are presented, the convergence results are proved, which will be very meaningful for theoretical research or applications on multi–output neural networks.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Feed forward neural networks (FNN) have been widely used in much application [1 – 9]. The Penalty (regularization) term is often introduced into the network training algorithms so as to control the magnitude of the weights and to improve the generalization performance of the network [10 - 13]. A commonly used penalty term added to the standard error function is a term proportional to the norm of the weights. The effectiveness of this penalty has been tested on many problems such as the monks problem, etc. An especially $L_{1/2}$ regularization term is introduced into the batch gradient learning algorithm for pruning of FNN, the usual $L_{1/2}$ regularization term is not smooth at the origin, which causes difficulty in the convergence analysis and, more importantly. Oscillation in the numerical computation as observed in the numerical experiments [14]. In [15], some convergence results are given for feed forward neural networks with $L_{1/2}$ regularization penalty, where the learning fashion of training examples is gradient algorithm learning. The key for the convergence results of the error function is decreasing monotonically, and the online gradient method with $L_{1/2}$ smoothing regularization term is deterministically convergent. As a simple example, the convergence for two-layer feed forward neural network is discussed in [16]. The convergence of the online and batch gradient algorithm with a penalty term for feed forward neural network has been also discussed [17 - 19]. These results are of global nature that they are valid for any arbitrarily given initial values of the weights. In addition, multi-output feed forward neural network is widely used in classification problems, and the convergence of multi-output neural network is very meaningful.
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In this paper, we study a multi-output BP neural network with $L_{1/2}$ regularization penalty term and define a relation formula between the penalty parameter and the learning rate parameter, then use it to prove the weak and strong convergences of the offline gradient algorithm with $L_{1/2}$ regularization penalty. We note that usual $L_{1/2}$ regularization penalty term is not smooth at the origin, so difficulty to proof main results, for which we suppose that $L_{1/2}$ regularization smoothing in the origin error function, then it come easy to prove, our main results. Additionally, the boundness of the new error function with $L_{1/2}$ regularization penalty is also guaranteed.

The rest of this paper is arranged as follows. The offline gradient method with smoothing $L_{1/2}$ regularization penalty term is described in section 2. In section 3, the convergence results of offline gradient method with smoothing $L_{1/2}$ regularization are presented. In section 4, we display a brief summary of our present work.

## 2 ALGORITHM DESCRIPTION

In this section, we introduction a two-layer network consisting of $p$ input layers, $n$ output layers. Fig. 1 illustrates the structure of two-layer multi-output feed-forward neural network.

![Fig. 1 structure of two-layer Multi-output feedforward neural network](image)

Denote the $\omega = (\omega_{ab})_p$ and $\omega_i = (\omega_{i1}, \omega_{i2}, ..., \omega_{ip}) (1 \leq i \leq n)$ and the transfer function by $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, is a sigmoid function. Let $\{x^j, o^j\}_{j=1}^l \subset \mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}$ is a given of training samples.

For each input $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^p$, then the actual output is computed by

$$\hat{\xi} = g(\omega \cdot \xi)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

### 2.1 OFFLINE GRADIENT METHOD WITH $L_{1/2}$ REGULARIZATION

In supervised training of neural networks, synaptic weights are usually updated by an iterative algorithm which searches for the minimum of a cost function. A popular choice of the cost function is

$$E(\omega) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{l} (o^j - \hat{o}^j)^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{l} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (o^j - g(\omega_i \cdot \xi^j))^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

By adding a $L_{1/2}$ regularization penalty term, the modified cost function takes the form (cf. [6, 12])

$$E(\omega) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{l} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (o^j - g_j(\omega_i \cdot \xi^j))^2 + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{p} |\omega_{ik}|^{1/2}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{l} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_j(\omega_i \cdot \xi^j) + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{p} |\omega_{ik}|^{1/2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Where $g_j(t) := \frac{1}{2} (o^j - g(t))^2$ and $\lambda > 0$ is a penalty parameter. Then the gradient function with respect to $\omega_{ik}(i = 1, 2, ..., n; k = 1, 2, ..., p)$ is

$$E_{\omega_{ik}}(\omega) = \sum_{j=1}^{l} g_j'(\omega_i \cdot \xi^j) \xi^j_k + \frac{\lambda}{2} \frac{\text{sgm}(\omega_{ik})}{|\omega_{ik}|^{1/2}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Let $\omega^0$ be arbitrary initial weight. The offline gradient method with $L_{1/2}$ regularization term updates the weights $\{\omega^m\}$ iteratively by

\[ \]
\[
\omega^{m+j}_{ik} = \omega^{m+j-1}_{ik} - \Delta_j^m \omega^{m+j-1}_{ik}, \quad m = 0,1,2,\ldots
\]

Where
\[
\Delta_j^m \omega^{m+j-1}_{ik} = -\eta_m \nabla_{\omega_{ik}} E(\omega^{m+j-1}_{ik}) = -\eta_m E_{\omega_{ik}}(\omega^{m+j-1}_{ik})
\]
\[
= -\eta_m \left( \sum_{j=1}^{l} g_j^i(\omega^{m+j-1}_{ik} \cdot \xi^j) \xi^j_k + \lambda sgm \left( \frac{\omega^{m+j-1}_{ik}}{2} \right) \right)
\]

Here, \(\nabla_{\omega_{ik}} E(\omega^{m+j-1}_{ik})\) is the gradient of \(E(\omega^{m+j-1}_{ik})\) with respect to \(\omega_{ik}\) \((i = 1,2,\ldots,n;\ k = 1,2,\ldots,p)\) and \(\eta_m > 0\) is the learning rate.

### 2.2 Offline Gradient Method with Smoothing \(L_{1/2}\) Regularization

A modified \(L_{1/2}\) regularization term in error function is difficult to convergence analysis, so we proposed to smoothing the usual one at the origin, resulting in the following error function with a smoothing \(L_{1/2}\) regularization penalty term:

\[
E(\omega) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{l} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\omega^{j} - g(\omega^{j} \cdot \xi^{j}))^2 + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{p} f(\omega_{ik})^{1/2}
\]
\[
= \sum_{j=1}^{l} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_j^i(\omega^{j} \cdot \xi^{j}) + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{p} f(\omega_{ik})^{1/2}
\]

In order to approximate the non-smooth function \(|x|\). For definiteness and simplicity, we use the smoothing function \(f(x)\) defined by:

\[
f(x) = \begin{cases} -x, & x \leq -a; \\ \frac{1}{8a^3} x^4 + \frac{3}{4a} x^2 + \frac{3}{8}, & -a < x < a; \\ x, & x \geq a; \end{cases}
\]

Where \(a\) is a small positive constant. Then we have

\[
f'(x) = \begin{cases} -1, & x \leq -a; \\ \frac{1}{2a^2} x^3 + \frac{3}{2a} x, & -a < x < a; \\ 1, & x \geq a; \\ 0, & x \leq -a; \end{cases}
\]

\[
f''(x) = \begin{cases} -\frac{3}{2a^2} x^2 + \frac{3}{2a}, & -a < x < a; \\ 0, & x \geq a; \end{cases}
\]

It is easy to get

\[
f(x) \in \left[\frac{3}{8a}, +\infty\right), \quad f'(x) \in [-1, 1], \quad f''(x) \in \left[0, \frac{3}{2a}\right]
\]

Where \(g_j(t) = \frac{1}{2} (\omega^{j} - g(t))^2\) and \(\lambda > 0\) is a penalty parameter. Then gradient function with respect to \(\omega_{ik}(i = 1,2,\ldots,n;\ k = 1,2,\ldots,p)\):

\[
E_{\omega_{ik}}(\omega) = \sum_{j=1}^{l} g_j^i(\omega^{j} \cdot \xi^{j}) + \lambda f'(\omega_{ik})^{1/2}
\]
\[
= \sum_{j=1}^{l} g_j^i(\omega^{j} \cdot \xi^{j}) + \lambda f'(\omega_{ik})^{1/2}
\]

Let \(\omega^{0}\) be arbitrary initial weight. The offline gradient method with smoothing \(L_{1/2}\) regularization term updates the weights \(\{\omega^{m}\}\) iteratively by

\[
\omega^{m+j}_{ik} = \omega^{m+j-1}_{ik} - \Delta_j^m \omega^{m+j-1}_{ik}, \quad m = 0,1,2,\ldots
\]

Where

\[
\Delta_j^m \omega^{m+j-1}_{ik} = -\eta_m \nabla_{\omega_{ik}} E(\omega^{m+j-1}_{ik}) = -\eta_m E_{\omega_{ik}}(\omega^{m+j-1}_{ik})
\]
where $\nabla_{\omega_{ik}} E(\omega^{m+j-1})$ is the gradient of $E(\omega^{m+j-1})$ with respect to $\omega_{ik}$ ($i = 1, 2, ..., n$; $k = 1, 2, ..., p$) and $\eta_m > 0$ is the learning rate.

### 3 Main Results

Our assumptions in this paper are described below:

**Assumption (A1):** $|g^{(k)}(t)| < C$, $|g^{(k)}(t)| < C$ ($k = 0, 1, 2$) are uniformly bounded for $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

**Assumption (A2):** $\lambda$ and $\eta$ are chosen to satisfy $0 < \eta < \frac{1}{\lambda + C_2}$.

For simplicity, we denote

\[ C_1 = \frac{1}{2} JCC^2, \quad C_2 = \max_{1 \leq j \leq \rho} \|\xi_j\| \cdot \|\xi\|, \]

**Assumption (A3):** the set $\Omega \in \{\omega \in \Omega: E_\omega(\omega) = 0\}$ contains finite points, where $\Omega$ is a closed bounded region such that $\{\omega^m\} \subset \Omega$.

The following Lemma is a crucial tool for our analysis.

**Lemma 1.** Let $F: \Phi \subset \mathbb{R}^p \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous for a bounded closed region $\Phi$, if the set $\Phi_0 = \{x \in \Phi: F(x) = 0\}$ has finite points and the sequence $\{x_n\} \in \Phi$ satisfy: $\lim_{n \to \infty} \|F(x_n)\| = 0$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - x_0\| = 0$. Then, there exists $x^* \in \Phi_0$ such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = x^*$. Thus proof is omitted see [20].

The next theorem confirms the boundedness of the weights in the training procedure, which is a desired rewarding of adding a smoothing $L_{1/2}$ regularization penalty term.

**Theorem 2.** Suppose that Assumptions (A1) and (A2) are valid. That the weight sequence $\{\omega_{ik}^{m+j}\}$ is the generated form equ. (10). For arbitrary initial values $\omega_{ik}^{0}$, then $\{\omega_{ik}^{m+j}\}$ ($i = 1, 2, ..., n$; $k = 1, 2, ..., p$; $m = 0, 1, 2, ...$) are uniformly bounded, i.e., there exist positive constants $M > 0$ such that

\[ \|\omega_{ik}^{m+j}\| \leq M, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., n; k = 1, 2, ..., p; m = 0, 1, 2, ... \]

**Proof.** By applying the assumption (A1), there is a constant $A_2 > 0$ such that for all $m = 0, 1, 2, ...$,

\[ \sum_{j=1}^{\rho} \|g^{(k)}(\omega_{ik}^{m+j-1} - \xi_j)\| \|\xi_j\| \leq A_2 \]

(13)

In addition to that, for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $f(x) \in [a, +\infty)$, $f'(x) \in [-1, 1]$, holds. By the updating equs. (10) and (11), we have

\[ |\omega_{ik}^{m+j} - \omega_{ik}^{m+j-1}| = \eta_m |\Delta \omega_{ik}^{m+j-1}| \]

\[ \leq \eta_m \left( |g^{(k)}(\omega_{ik}^{m+j-1} - \xi_j)\| \xi_j\| + \lambda \frac{f^{\prime}(\omega_{ik}^{m+j-1})}{2f(\omega_{ik}^{m+j-1})^{3/2}} \right) \]

\[ \leq \eta_m (A_2 + \frac{\lambda}{3\alpha} \sqrt{6a}) \leq \eta_m A_1 \]

Where $A_1 = A_2 + (\lambda/3\alpha) \sqrt{6a}$.

Then

\[ |\omega_{ik}^{(m+1)+j} - \omega_{ik}^{m+j}| \leq |\omega_{ik}^{(m+1)+j} - \omega_{ik}^{(m+1)+j-1}| + |\omega_{ik}^{(m+1)+j-1} - \omega_{ik}^{(m+1)+j-2}| + \cdots + |\omega_{ik}^{(m+1)+j-1} - \omega_{ik}^{(m+1)+j-2}| + \cdots + |\omega_{ik}^{m+j+1} - \omega_{ik}^{m+j}| \]

\[ \leq (\eta_m A_1 + (J - \eta_m)A_1) \]

(14)
Since
\[ \left| \omega_{ik}^{(m+p)+j} - \omega_{ik}^{m+j} \right| \leq \left| \omega_{ik}^{(m+p)+j} - \omega_{ik}^{(m+p-1)+j} \right| + \left| \omega_{ik}^{(m+p-1)+j} - \omega_{ik}^{(m+p-2)+j} \right| + \cdots + \left| \omega_{ik}^{(m+1)+j} - \omega_{ik}^{m+j} \right| \]

\[ \leq A \sum_{j=m}^{p} (\eta_{m+p} + \eta_{m+p-1} + \cdots + \eta_{m+1}) + C_2 (J-j) (\eta_{m+p-1} + \eta_{m+p-2} + \cdots + \eta_m) \]

\[ \leq fA \varepsilon \]

Therefore, the weight sequence \( \{ \omega_{ik}^{m+j} \} \) is a convergence. By the properties of convergence sequence, \( \{ \omega_{ik}^{m+j} \} \) must be a bounded sequence, so is \( \| \omega_{ik}^{m+j} \| \), namely, there exists a suitable constant \( M > 0 \) such that

\[ \| \omega_{ik}^{m+j} \| \leq M \] (16)

Where \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \); \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, p \); \( m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, j = 1, 2, \ldots, J \).

Naturally, there also exists a constant \( \tilde{M} \geq 0 \) such that

\[ \| \Delta_k \omega_i^{m+j-1} \| \leq \tilde{M} \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, J \] (17)

This proof is completed.

**Theorem 3.** Suppose that the error function is given by equ. (7), that the weight sequence \( \{ \omega^m \} \) is generated by the algorithm of equ. (11) for any initial value \( \omega^0 \), and Assumption (A1) and (A2) are valid. Then we have

(i) \( E(\omega^{(m+1)}) \leq E(\omega^m) \),

(ii) There is \( E_* \geq 0 \) such that \( \lim_{m \to \infty} E(\omega^m) = E_* \),

(iii) \( \lim_{m \to \infty} \| \Delta \omega_i^m \| = 0 \), \( \lim_{m \to \infty} \| \Delta \omega_i^m \| = 0 \).

Moreover, if Assumption (A3) is valid, then we have the strong convergence:

(iv) There exists \( \omega_* \in \Omega_0 \) such that \( \lim_{m \to \infty} \omega^m = \omega_* \).

The Proof of Theorem 3. Is divided into four parts dealing with statements (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv), respectively.

**Proof of (i) of the theorem 3.** For convenience, we use the following notations:

\[ \sigma^m = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{p} (\Delta_k^m \omega_i^m)^2 \] (18)

Using the Taylor’s formula we expand \( g_{ij} \left( \omega_i^{(m+1)} \cdot \xi^j \right) \) at \( \omega_i^m \cdot \xi^j \) we get

\[ g_{ij} \left( \omega_i^{(m+1)} \cdot \xi^j \right) = g_{ij} (\omega_i^m \cdot \xi^j) + g'_{ij} (\omega_i^m \cdot \xi^j) (\Delta_k^m \omega_i^m) \xi^j \]

\[ + \frac{1}{2} g''_{ij} (t_{m,j}) [(\Delta_k^m \omega_i^m) \xi^j]^2 \] (19)

Where \( t_{m,j} \) lies in between \( \omega_i^m \cdot \xi^j \) and \( \omega_i^{(m+1)} \cdot \xi^j \).

From equ. (7) and equ. (19) we get

\[ E(\omega^{(m+1)}) - E(\omega^m) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{p} g_{ij} (\omega_i^m \cdot \xi^j) (\Delta_k^m \omega_i^m) \xi^j + 1 \frac{\lambda}{2} C \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{p} (\Delta_k^m \omega_i^m)^2 \]

\[ + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{p} f(\omega_i^m \cdot \xi^j)^{1/2} - f(\omega_i^m \cdot \xi^j)^{1/2} \]

\[ = - \frac{1}{\eta_m} - C_1 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{p} (\Delta_k^m \omega_i^m)^2 - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{p} f(\omega_i^m \cdot \xi^j)^{1/2} \cdot (\Delta_k^m \omega_i^m) \]

\[ + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{p} f(\omega_i^m \cdot \xi^j)^{1/2} - f(\omega_i^m \cdot \xi^j)^{1/2} \] (20)
Where $C_1 = \frac{1}{2}JC_C^2$.

By using the Lagrange mean value theorem for $f(x)$, we have

$$
E(\omega^{(m+1)l}) - E(\omega^m) = -\left(\frac{1}{\eta_m} - M\lambda - C_1\right)\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{p} (\Delta^m \omega^m_{ik})^2 \\
= -\left(\frac{1}{\eta_m} - M\lambda - C_1\right)\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{p} (\Delta^m \omega^m_{ik})^2
$$

(21)

Where $t_{i,k,m} \in \mathbb{R}$ is between $\omega^m_{ik}$ and $\omega^{(m+1)l}_{ik}$, $M = \frac{\sqrt{\sigma}}{\sqrt{a}}$, and $F(x) \equiv (f(x))^\frac{1}{2}$. Note that

$$
F'(x) = \frac{f'(x)}{2\sqrt{f(x)}}
$$

$$
F''(x) = \frac{2f''(x)f(x) - [f'(x)]^2}{4[f(x)]^2} \leq \frac{f''(x)}{2\sqrt{f(x)}} \leq \frac{\sqrt{6}}{2\sqrt{a}^2}
$$

By assumption (A2), we have

$$
E(\omega^{(m+1)l}) \leq E(\omega^m) \quad m = 0,1,2,\ldots, i = 1,2,\ldots,l
$$

(22)

This completes the proof to statement (i) of Theorem 3.

Proof of (ii) of Theorem 3. Since the nonnegative sequence \{E(\omega^m)\} is monotone and bounded below. There must be a limit value $E^* \geq 0$ such that $\lim_{m \to \infty} E(\omega^m) = E^*$. The Proof of (ii) is thus completed.

Proof of (iii) of Theorem 3. It follows from assumption (A2) that $\beta > 0$. Taking $\beta = \frac{1}{\eta_m} - M\lambda - C_1$ and using equ. (21), we have

$$
E(\omega^{(m+1)l}) \leq E(\omega^m) - \beta \sigma^m \leq \ldots \leq E(\omega^0) - \beta \sum_{k=0}^{m} \sigma^k
$$

Since $\left(\omega^{(m+1)l}\right) \geq 0$, we have

$$
\beta \sum_{k=0}^{m} \sigma^k \leq E(\omega^0).
$$

Let $m \to \infty$, then

$$
\beta \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sigma^k \leq \frac{1}{\beta} E(\omega^0) < \infty.
$$

these results to

$$
\lim_{m \to \infty} \sigma^m = \lim_{m \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{p} (\Delta^m \omega^m_{ik})^2 = 0.
$$

(23)

It follows from equ. (9) and equ. (11) that

$$
\lim_{m \to \infty} ||\Delta^m \omega^m_{ik}|| = 0, \quad \lim_{m \to \infty} ||F_{\omega}(\omega^m)|| = 0.
$$

The proof to (iii) is thus completed.

Proof of (iv) of Theorem 3. From equ. (23) leads to: existing $E^* \in \Phi_0$ such that $\lim_{m \to \infty}(\omega^m) = \omega^*$. This completes the proof of (iv).
4 CONCLUSION

Convergence results are established for the offline gradient method with smoothing \(L_{1/2}\) regularization term for training multi-output feedforward neural networks. The monotonicity of the error function and weight boundedness for the offline gradient with smoothing \(L_{1/2}\) regularization are presented, both weak and strong convergence results are proved, which will provides a strong theoretical support for many applications on multi-output neural networks.
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