Inventory Model for Dynamic Demand and Product Cost with Limited Storage Space Using L.P.P/I.P.P. Technique

Harsha Pandey¹ and R. C. Srivastava²

¹Department of Applied Sciences, M.M.M. University of Technology, Gorakhpur, UP, India

²Department of Mathematics and Statistics, D. D. U. Gorakhpur University, Gorakhpur, UP, India

Copyright © 2014 ISSR Journals. This is an open access article distributed under the *Creative Commons Attribution License*, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ABSTRACT: In global market, where demand as well as the cost fluctuates rapidly, whereas the inventory holding capacity and capital to be invested doesn't change at the same pace; long term planning seems reasonable to avoid any loss either due to overstocking the inventory or due to stock-outs. This paper presents proposes a deterministic mathematical model to effectively control the inventory holdings. Proposed model considers the periodic fluctuations in demand and cost that affect the cost and quantity of inventory holding under the constraint that available storage space is limited. It minimizes the total cost of inventory in a given period of time and without any shortages.

Keywords: EOQ model, Inventory Control, Shortages, Stock holding, Carrying Cost.

1 INTRODUCTION

Inventory is the stock to be held by an organization (may be a retailer/manufacturer/charity organization etc.) to meet the future demand. As any denial in fulfilling the demand is not a healthy sign for the growth of business outlet, because each denial results in loss of goodwill and a potential consumer. To meet all the demands that may occur at any period of time a very careful and effective strategy should be adopted so that while maintaining and managing the items in store. This strategic management of the inventory is called inventory control.

Many businesses have limited resource. In such a case if a large part of the capital is tied up in wrong kind of inventory then it may be catastrophic for the organization. Also inventory may be old, worn out, shop worn, obsolete, or of the wrong sizes or colours, or there may be an imbalance among different product lines that reduces the customer's appeal of the total operation. Therefore it is essential to ensure that the organization has the right amount of goods on hand to avoid stock-outs, to prevent shrinkage (spoilage/theft), and to provide proper accounting.

In today's open market the demand and/or cost does not remain constant but keeps changing with time. It actually varies when the selling price of any item is changed [1]. So the problem arises that how much should be kept in stock prior to the arrival of next demand?

Also, if there are some items are left from the previous period then how to decide for the next level of inventory. So it is necessary to pre-decide the inventory level and manage it effectively at any instant of time. Since if supplier/ manufacturer doesn't check the inventory level on time than they may be at loss either due to over-stocking it or by underestimating it.

2 INVENTORY MODEL FOR DYNAMIC DEMAND AND PRODUCT COST WITH LIMITED STORAGE SPACE USING L.P.P/I.P.P. TECHNIQUE [SINGLE ITEM]

In the basic Barabas EOQ Model [2], [3] demand of the item as well as the cost of product is assumed to be constant. But in today's open market, the cost and demand is not static rather fluctuate rapidly. It actually varies when the selling price of any item is changed [1]. It may also vary if the paying capacity of the consumer changes.

Again in Barabas EOQ Model, the inventory left (if any) during the previous period has not been accounted for, as it has been assumed that next order is placed when inventory level reaches zero with zero lead time. Thinking of it that cost doesn't change and inventory is replenished as soon as it is ordered, than why does a firm need extra expenses on holding the inventory? It would be better that organization orders for the item, only when a demand arises and thus saving the capital, which can be used for other productive work. Practically it is not possible. In fact, the Cost and demand both changes rapidly due to various reasons. Also, there may be inventory left from the previous period which should be utilized in the next time period. Organization just cannot throw the leftover inventory except for the case where it is expired or spoiled for one or the other reasons.

One more point of concern is the storage capacity. Holding an inventory needs space and acquiring more space is not that easy due to various constraints. Definitely, any organization cannot have unlimited storage space. Thus, one has to keep this constraint in mind, while developing a model. Thus, a long term inventory plan may be more beneficial for any organization. Therefore, it is more desirable for a supplier/ manufacturer to have prior knowledge of the state of inventory holdings, so that he can manage his inventory level effectively, at any instant of time. Since if supplier/ manufacturer doesn't check the inventory level on time than they may be at loss either due to over-stocking it or by running short of it.

Many models have been proposed for time dependent demand rate over the years such as Baker & Urban, (1988)[4] presented the EOQ model in which the demand is a multivariate function of price, time, and level of inventory;

Mandal & Maiti's (1999)[5] model with stock dependent demand. This study proposes a new inventory control model which addresses all the above cited problems and takes into account the fluctuation in demand rate and product cost that affects the inventory and still minimizes the total cost of inventory in a given period of time.

The model that has been formulated in this study considers all the above factors and is based on the following assumptions

- Multiple items are being stocked.
- Demand is deterministic and changes with time.
- Cost of a product also fluctuates.
- One period is divided into 'n' time zones. 'n' refers to the number of times demand or price or both changes during the period under study.
- Storage capacity is limited.
- Shortages are not allowed.
- There may be stock holdings from the previous time zone and it is carried to the next and utilized.

Now consider the following variables:

- *n:* Number of time zones in a given time period (may be a financial year).
- *t:* Time period which takes the values 1,2,3.....n in one financial year.
- *I:* Maximum number of units that can be stocked at a time.
- *I*₀: Initial stock holding.
- I_t : Number of units in stock at the end of the period t. (t = 0, 1, 2.....n)
- *h:* Inventory carrying cost.
- d_t : Demand of the product in the period t: (t = 1, 2....n)
- C_t : Cost of unit item in the period t: (t = 1, 2....n)
- X_t : Number of units to be ordered in a time period t. (t = 0, 1, 2....n)

Since inventory is being carried from one period to the next, it is necessary to define the stock.

Closing stock of period t = opening stock of period t + Quantity ordered in period t - demand

Symbolically, $I_t = I_{t-1} + X_t - d_t$	
Obviously, Opening stock in any period = Closing stock of previous period.	
Also $I_t \ge 0$	2.1
Thus we get the constraint $I_{t-1} + X_t \ge d_t$	2.2
Since storage space is limited therefore $I_t \leq I$	2.3
Since number of units cannot be negative, therefore, $X_t \ge 0$	2.4

The inventory problem is to minimize the cost of inventory and obtain the optimal order quantity. Thus it can be formulated as:

$$Min T_{c} = h \sum_{t=0}^{n} I_{t} + \sum_{t=1}^{n} C_{t} X_{t}$$

This is the linear objective function. Combining it with constraints equations 2.1, 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4; inventory problem can be modeled as follows

Min
$$T_c = h \sum_{t=0}^{n} I_t + \sum_{t=1}^{n} C_t X_t$$

Subject to the constraints:

$$I_t \le I$$

$$I_{t-1} + X_t \ge d_t$$

$$I_t \ge 0, X_t \ge 0$$

This is a linear programming problem and can be solved by Simplex method proposed by George Dantzig[6] in 1947. Numerical examples presented in this study are solved with the help of "lp_solve 5.5.2.0"[7] (http://lpsolve.sourceforge.net/5.5/). lp_solve is a software to solve optimization problem using simplex method. It was originally developed by Michel Berkelaar at Eindhoven University of Technology. Henri Gourvest, developed easy to use graphical interface called LPSolve IDE for this program. This is very simple and user friendly.

NUMERICAL EXAMPLE [2.1]: If 15 units of a beverage are already in store and it is possible to hold up to 90 units of a beverage in store from one period to the next at a carrying cost of Rs. 2 per unit. Demand and cost for four consecutive periods of the beverage is estimated as below:

Table 1. Table of Demand and Cost of beverage

Period	Demand (unit)	Cost per unit
1	100	Rs. 15
2	80	Rs 14
3	120	Rs 17
4	110	Rs 18

It is required to determine the storage schedule which will meet the stated demands over the four time periods at minimum cost.

SOLUTION: This problem can be modeled as

$$\begin{split} &\textit{Min } \ T_c = \ 2\left(I_0 + I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4\right) + 15X_1 + 14X_2 + 17X_3 + 18X_4 \\ &\text{Subject to the constraints:} \\ &I_0 = 20 \ , I_1 \leq 90 \ , I_2 \leq 90 \ , I_3 \leq 90 \ , I_4 \leq 90 \\ &I_0 + X_1 \geq 100 \ , I_1 + X_2 \geq 80 \ I_2 + X_3 \geq 120 \ , I_3 + X_4 \geq 100 \end{split}$$

The Flat Zewards Wayness Reas	Options Little	19		
🗋 🛪 🧺 🚂 🍺 🤢 📰 🗠 🗠 🕼	M & # 4			
🖉 Seatter 🏧 Austin 🛠 🕄 Dational 🕧	Provid			
T course in a man in a rear of				
1 /* Objective function	n =/			
	2 42 10 42 14 415 21 41	4 A2 -17 A3 -10 3		
4 11 57 901				
s 12 x= 50;				
6 13 5 901				
2 24 <- 90;				
A TO 1x1 >= 100;				
y 21 +x2 >= 80;				
10 I2 +x3 >= 120;				
11 13 +m1 >= 100;				
11 106 11,12,13,14,21,2	2, x3, x4;			
-og bræcaget				
tedal and its sectored	11			
Objective: Minimize (M				
Objective: Minimize (HC	0			
Objectivo: Minimizo(HC SUEMITTED))			
Objective: Minimize(AC SUEHITTED Nodel size: 5 cor)) hotrainto, 9 varia	blcs.	9 non-zeros.	
Objective: Minimize(H) SUBHITTED Nodel size: 5 cer Sets:	ostrainto, 9 varia o em,	blos.	9 non-scros.	
Objectivo: Minimizo(HC SUEHITTED Nodel size: 5 cer Jens:)) Hotrainto, 9 varia D etth,	blos,	9 non-zeroa. D son.	
Ubjective: Minimize(AC SUBHITIZE Nodel size: 5 con Note: 5 con Note: 5 con Note: 5 con)) notrainto, 9 varia n cum, naac 1 and ruikai simpl	blos, ox for phase 2.	9 non-zeroa. D 203.	
Ubjective: Minimize(AC SUEHITYED Nodel size: 5 cor Sets: Using EUAL simplex for p The prime! and duel simp)) notraints, 9 varia n enn, phase 1 and FRIMAN simpl Nex pricing strategy as	blcs, ex for phase 2. t to 'Devex'.	9 non-zeroa. D 203.	
Objective: Minimize(NC SUEHITTED Nodel Jize: 5 cer Jets: Joing LUAL Simplex for p The primal and dual simp Relaxed solution)) Istraints, 9 varia o erm, Shase 1 and RUMAL simpl New pricing strategy as 2450 after	blos, ex for phase 2, t to 'Devex'. 7 iter is B	9 non-zeros. 0 sog.	
Objective: Minimizs(NC SUEHIFIED Nodel Size: 5 con Teta: Using LUAL Simplex for p The primal and dual simp Relaxed solution)) netrainto, 9 varia n enn, Nex pricing strategy se 2450 after	blos, ex for phase 2, t to 'Devex'. 7 iter is B	9 non-zeroa. D SOG. 15 base.	
bbjotirs: Minimizs(M) SUBHINTED Nodel size: 5 cer Art: Using BUAL simplex for p The prime and duel simp Relaxed solution Pessible solution)) Istraints, 9 varia B enn, Dhase 1 and vulkal simpl Nex priving strategy se 2450 after 2450 after	blos, ex for phase 2. t to 'Derex'. 7 iter is B 7 iter,	9 non-zeres. 0 son. 15 base. 0 nodes	(qep 0.0%)
Which is a second secon)) Istraints, 9 varia plans 1 and VILIAL simpl lax pricing stuting we 2450 after 2450 after 2450 after	blos. ox for phase 2. t to "Perex". 7 iter is B 7 iter. 7 iter.	9 non-zoroz. p son. tB base. 0 nodes	(qep 0.0%)
Bejootivo: minimiso(MC SUBHITED Model aize: 5 cor Acto: Justi guida simplex for p The primal dual simplex Relaxed solution Presible solution Optimal polytion)) Hotrainto, 9 varia ent, base i and vitero simpl lex priving strategy as 2450 after 2450 after 2450 after 2450 after 2450 after	blos. ex for phase 2. t to 'Berex'. 7 iter is B 7 iter, 7 iter, 7 iter,	9 non-scroo. D non. E5 base. O nodes O nodes	(yep 0.0%) (gop 0.0%)
Ubjective: minimizers (MS SUBHITED Model size: 5 eer Ante: using EVAN simplem for p The prime and dual simp Relaxed solution Tweshild solution Tweshild solution Tweshild magnic avoua	<pre>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>></pre>	blos, ex for phase 2. t to 'Devex'. 7 iter is B 7 iter, 7 iter,	9 non-zoroa D non. 15 hase. O nodes O nodes	(qep 0.0%) (gop 0.0%).
Bejootivo: minimissind SUBHITED Model bize: 5 cor Act: Using USAL simplex for p The primal cond dual simp Relaxed solution Presible solution Optimal solution Excellent macrix sources Maddy ip solve version 3)) hetraints, 9 varies of and vulske simpl law priving shorting 2450 after 2450 after 2450 after 2450 after 2450 after 2450 after 2450 after 250 after 250 after 25 kt us, 9	blos. t to 'Derex'. 7 iter is B 7 iter, 7 iter, 7 iter, 1 iter, 1 iter,	9 non-zeros. n non. 15 hase. 0 nodes 0 nodes variables.	(uep 0.0%) (gop 0.0%).
Ubjective: Minimize(MC SOBACTED Model lise: 5 oct Ann: Using usua simplex for p The prime and dual swp Related solution Optimal solution Details olution Relevant measure accura- NEGWO 10 policy version a	<pre>)) intraints. 9 varia 0 mm, head 1 and vurtue. singl ites pricing strategy as 2450 after 2450 after 2450</pre>	blos. ox for phase 2. to 'Devex'. 7 iter is B 7 iter, 7 iter, 7 iter, tibh 64 bit MCAL bound flips.	9 non-zeroa. D non. EB base. O nodes O nodes variables.	(yep 0.0%) (gop 0.0%),
Dejective: minimize and SUBMETTED Model also: 5 oct Text Value of the set of the set Text prime and dual any Mained solution Persible solution Excellent numeric social Text in static fragmation To the solution Text social fragmation)) ustraints. 9 varie 9 varie 10 varie 1 and vurket simpl 10 varies of the states 2450 after 2450 after 2450 after 10 (1) - 1,4208-04 vu - 1,4208-04 10 (1) - 1,4208-04 10 (blos. t for phase 2. t for 'Derma'. 7 iter is B 7 iter, 7 itor, ith S0 bit Human hound rijne. ime and 0 by den	9 non-scroe. D non. D hodes O hodes O hodes variables. sity.	(yep 0.0%). (gop 0.0%).
Unionity minimizes and sometrono Model sizes i a con- ternation of the second second second terms and dual star solution for second second second Persible solution Persible solution Persible solution Second second second second MANUAL Dy active version a There were 0 references	<pre>)) instraints, 9 varia p erm, inst pricing strategy 2450 after 2450 afte</pre>	blos. ex for phase 2. t to 'Derest. 7 iter 19 2 7 iter, 7 iter, 7 iter, 7 iter, 1 ite 30 bit pack. ime and 0 by den	9 non-geros. p non. t5 base. 0 nodes 0 nodes variables. sity.	(yaµ 0.0%) (gap 0.0%).

Fig 2.1: Window image showing objective function

: Edic 3	earch <u>A</u> cti	ion <u>V</u> iev ໄດ້ດ	v Options Eelp "*
Source [Matio 🗐	Optors	8 Resut
oecive Cor	staints Sena	stvitu	
(ariables	MLº	re:"it	
	2450	2450	
0	2)	20	
1	80	80	
2	90	90	
3	90	90	
4	0	0	
4	80	80	
4	0	0	
6	30	30	
.d	-1	10	

Fig 2.2: Window image showing result

 $I_t \ge 0$, $X_t \ge 0$

This is solved using lp_solve 5.5.2.0 [7] [Refer Window images 2.1 and 2.2 for solution] $I_1 = 80$, $I_2 = 90$, $I_3 = 90$, $I_4 = 0$, $X_1 = 80$, $X_2 = 80$, $X_3 = 30$, $X_4 = 10$ $Min T_c = Rs. 2450$

NUMERICAL EXAMPLE [2.2]: Demand and product cost for an item for 2 periods are given below:

Table 2. Table of Demand and Cost for an item

Period	Demand (unit)	Cost per unit
1	75	Rs 6
2	124	Rs 8

Carrying cost is Rs 2.5 per period and initially there are 25 items in the store. If a store can hold a maximum of 100 units, from one period to another, than find the inventory that minimizes the total cost for the given time.

SOLUTION: The problem takes the following l.p.p. form.

Min
$$T_c = 2.2 (I_0 + I_1 + I_2) + 6X_1 + 8X_2$$

Subject to the constraints:

$$I_0 = 25$$
, $I_1 \le 100$, $I_2 \le 100$,

$$I_0 + X_1 \ge 75$$
, $I_1 + X_2 \ge 124$, $I_t \ge 0$, $X_t \ge 0$

Solving by '*lp_solve 5.5.2.0*' [7] We get

$$I_1 = 100$$
, $I_2 = 0$, $X_1 = 50$, $X_2 = 24$ and. Min T_c = Rs 804.50.

3 INVENTORY MODEL FOR DYNAMIC DEMAND AND PRODUCT COST WITH LIMITED STORAGE SPACE USING L.P.P/I.P.P. TECHNIQUE [MULTIPLE ITEMS]

The model presented in the last section deals with single item inventory holdings. It can be reformulated for the multiple item inventory holding, which will be more applicable as most of the time an organisation deals with many items inventory holding.

Assumptions: All the assumptions remain the same as the previous one except for the first one that is now 'multiple items are being stocked.

Now consider the following variables:

- *n*: Number of time zones in a given time period.
- *t*: Time period which takes the values 1,2,3.....*n* in one financial year.
- *p*: Product number; which takes the values 1,2,3.....*k*, if there are *k* different types of product to be stored.
- I_{p} : Maximum number of t units of the p^{th} product that can be stocked at a time.
- $I_{op:}$ Initial stock holding for p^{th} product.
- I_{tp} : Number of units of the p^{th} product in stock at the end of the period t. (t = 0, 1, 2....n)
- h_p : Inventory carrying cost of the p^{th} product.
- d_{tp} : Demand of the p^{th} product in the period t: (t = 1, 2, ..., n)
- C_{tp} : Cost of unit item in the period t: (t = 1, 2, ..., n)
- X_{tp} : Number of units to be ordered in a time period t. (t = 0, 1, 2, ..., n)

Since inventory is being carried from one period to the next, it is necessary to define the stock.

Closing stock of period t = opening stock of period t + Quantity ordered in period t - demand Symbolically,

$$I_{tp} = I_{(t-1)p} + X_{tp} - d_{tp}$$

Obviously,

Opening stock in any period = Closing stock of previous period.

Also
$$I_{tp} \ge 0$$
 3.2

Thus we get the constraint $I_{(t-1)p} + X_{tp} \ge d_{tp}$

Since storage space is limited therefore $I_{tp} \leq I_p$

Since number of units cannot be negative, therefore, $\, X_{_{tp}} \geq 0 \,$

The inventory problem is to minimize the cost of inventory and obtain the optimal order quantity. Thus it can be formulated as:

$$Min T_{c} = \sum_{p=1}^{k} \left[h_{p} \sum_{t=0}^{n} I_{tp} \right] + \sum_{p=1}^{k} \sum_{t=1}^{n} C_{tp} X_{tp}$$

This is the linear objective function. Combining it with constraints equations 3.1, 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4; inventory problem can be modeled as follows

$$Min T_{c} = \sum_{p=1}^{k} \left[h_{p} \sum_{t=0}^{n} I_{tp} \right] + \sum_{p=1}^{k} \sum_{t=1}^{n} C_{tp} X_{tp}$$

Subject to the constraints:

$$I_{tp} \le I_{p}, \qquad I_{(t-1)p} + X_{tp} \ge d_{tp}, \qquad I_{tp} \ge 0, \qquad X_{tp} \ge 0$$

This is a linear programming problem and can be solved by Simplex method proposed by George Dantzig in 1947.

3.2

3.4

3.3

NUMERICAL EXAMPLE [3.1]: A retailer selling grocery item stores five items for future use. Variation in demand and cost of items are given below:

Product	Time	Demand	Cost / unit	Initial Inventory	Holding capacity	Carrying	
No.	period	units	cost / unit	I _{Op}	(units)	cost	
	1	100	Rs 32				
1	2	110	Rs 34	30	80	Rs.1	
	3	90	Rs 31				
	1	65	Rs 5				
2	2	130	Rs 6	35	95	Rs. 1.5	
	3	100	Rs 7				
3	1	68	Rs 15	28			
	2	83	Rs 13		28 72	72	Rs. 0.5
	3	70	Rs 14				

Table 3. Table showing retailer's grocery demand and storage capacity

Retailer wants to have inventory policy so that he can fulfil the demands without investing much money on it. Plan the inventory policy.

SOLUTION: Following l.p.p. problem will be formulated:

$$Min T_{c} = (I_{01} + I_{11} + I_{21} + I_{31}) + 1.5(I_{02} + I_{12} + I_{22} + I_{32}) + 0.5(I_{03} + I_{13} + I_{23} + I_{33}) + 32X_{11} + 34X_{21} + 31X_{31} + 5X_{12} + 6X_{22} + 7X_{32} + 15X_{13} + 13X_{23} + 14X_{33};$$

Subject to the constraints:

$$\begin{split} I_{01} &= 30 \ ; \ I_{02} = 35 \ ; \ I_{03} = 28 \ ; & I_{11} \leq 80 \ ; \ I_{21} \leq 80 \ ; \ I_{31} \leq 80 \ ; & I_{12} \leq 95 \ ; \ I_{22} \leq 95 \ ; \ I_{32} \leq 95 \ ; \\ I_{13} \leq 72 \ ; \ I_{23} \leq 72 \ ; \ I_{33} \leq 72 \ ; & I_{01} + X_{11} \geq 100 \ ; & I_{11} + X_{21} \geq 110 \ ; & I_{21} + X_{31} \geq 90 \ ; \\ I_{02} + X_{12} \geq 65 \ ; & I_{12} + X_{22} \geq 130 \ ; & I_{22} + X_{32} \geq 90 \ ; & I_{03} + X_{13} \geq 68 \ ; \\ I_{13} + X_{23} \geq 83 \ ; & I_{23} + X_{33} \geq 70 \ ; \\ \text{And} \ I_{11} \geq 0 \ , I_{21} \geq 0 \ , I_{31} \geq 0 \ , & I_{12} \geq 0 \ , I_{32} \geq 0 \ , & I_{13} \geq 0 \ , I_{23} \geq 0 \ , I_{33} \geq 0 \ , \\ X_{11} \geq 0 \ X_{21} \geq 0 \ , X_{31} \geq 0 \ , & X_{12} \geq 0 \ , X_{32} \geq 0 \ , & X_{13} \geq 0 \ , X_{23} \geq 0 \ , X_{33} \geq 0 \ ; \end{split}$$

Solving by 'lp_solve 5.5.2.0' [7]

$$I_{11} = 80$$
, $I_{21} = 80$, $I_{31} = 0$, $I_{12} = 95$, $I_{22} = 90$, $I_{32} = 0$, $I_{13} = 72$, $I_{23} = 70$, $I_{33} = 0$,
 $X_{11} = 70$, $X_{21} = 30$, $X_{31} = 10$, $X_{12} = 30$, $X_{22} = 35$, $X_{32} = 0$, $X_{13} = 40$, $X_{23} = 11$, $X_{33} = 0$
and Min T_c = Rs 5278

Thus inventory plan for the retailer is as follows:

Product No.	Time period	Units to be ordered	Inventory units	Minimum Inventory Cost
	1	$X_{11} = 70$	$I_{11} = 80$	
1	2	$X_{21} = 30$	$I_{21} = 80$	
	3	$X_{31} = 10$	$I_{31} = 0$	
	1	$X_{12} = 30$	$I_{12} = 95$	
2	2	$X_{22} = 35$	$I_{22} = 90$	Min T _c = Rs 5278
	3	$X_{32} = 0$	$I_{32} = 0$	
	1	$X_{13} = 40$	$I_{13} = 72$	
	2	$X_{23} = 11$	$I_{23} = 70$	
	3	$X_{33} = 0$	$I_{33} = 0$	

Table 4.	Table showing	inventory n	lan for the	retailer
	Tuble Showing	mventory p		retuner

4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Inventory planning and control is an essential evil of any organization. In this study it has been thoroughly studied and two models are proposed to help anyone keeping efficient merchandise. Proposed models use simple mathematics that even a person with little subject knowledge can understand easily. The models are deterministic and simplicity of the model is its strength.

The models can predict the economic order quantity for more than one period at a time; therefore, it is fit for long range inventory planning and control.

The models have a limitation that it requires demand to be estimated well before time. But if demand is predicted correctly than model seems to be a good enough to keep inventory control.

REFERENCES

- [1] Chang Tao-Chun, Yi-Ju Chen, Tzong-Ru TSAI and shuo-Jye WU, Inventory Models With Stock And Price Dependent Demand For Deteriorating Items Based On Limited Shelf Space, 2010
- [2] Harris, Ford W., How Many Parts To Make At Once Factory, The Magazine of Management, 10(2), pp135-136, 1913
- [3] Wilson, R. H. A Scientific Routine for Stock Control, Harvard Business Review, 13, pp 116-128. 1934
- [4] Baker, R.C., and Urban T.L, A deterministic inventory system with an inventory level dependent demand rate, Journal of the Operational Research Society, 39 pp 823-831. 1988
- [5] Mandal M., Maiti M. ,*Inventory of damageable items with variable replenishment rate, stock-dependent demand and some units in hand* 1999
- [6] Dantzig George b, Orden Alex, Wolfe Philip; *The generalized simplex method for minimizing a linear form under linear inequality restraints* 1947
- [7] lp_solve 5.5.2.0 A software available on http://lpsolve.sourceforge.net/5.5/.