FLUE GAS DESULFURIZATION BY MECHANOCHEMICALLY ACTIVATED DOLOMITE

Gianluca Intini¹, Gabriella De Santis¹, and Lorenzo Liberti²

¹T&A Technology and Environment, Bari, Italy

²Technical University of Bari, Italy

Copyright © 2016 ISSR Journals. This is an open access article distributed under the *Creative Commons Attribution License*, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ABSTRACT: Energy production by combustion of S-containing non-renewable fuels requires huge consumption of limestone for the necessary Flue Gas Desulfurization (FGD) treatment in order to meet stringent SO₂ emission limits. FGD (wet, dry or semi-dry) is an expensive, energy intensive, process due to its overall poor efficiency and to the need of using finely micronized CaCO₃. Wet FGD laboratory tests with dolomite slurry have shown that up to \approx 50% higher performance and faster SO₂ uptake occur if the mineral is micronized by high energy mill, yielding mechanochemical activation of the solid. Further benefits arise from the use of dolomite which consistently contains Mg carbonate.

Keywords: flue gas desulfurization; mechanochemical activation; limestone or dolomite micronization; SO₂ emission.

1 INTRODUCTION

Sulfur dioxide, a major cause of acid rain, is one of the 6 main air pollutants¹ for which the *EU Clean Air Program* commands stricter emission limits by 2020 and 2025 for large [1] and medium-small [2] combustion plants respectively. Due to the scarcity and the cost of no-S fuels², coal and oil with high ($\leq 8\%$) or low ($\leq 4\%$) S-content are traditionally used in large power stations and the resulting flue gas, containing finite amount of SO₂, must undergo specific desulfurization treatment in order to cope with the existing SO₂ emission limits (125/350 µg/m³ as hourly/daily concentration) [3].

Flue Gas Desulfurization (FGD) is a worldwide known process that adsorbs SO₂ through micronized calcite (CaCO₃)[4-5], of which Italy is a major producer (\approx 35 Mt/yr, 33% of total EU production)[6]. FGD is an expensive and energy-consuming process with capital and O&M costs \leq 500 U.S.\$/t_{SO2} in large power plants (400 MW) [7]. In addition to the operating conditions and the adsorbent nature, FGD performance depends largely on physiochemical characteristics of the micronized calcite [8-9].

The aim of this paper is to investigate sulfation properties of $CaCO_3$ micronized by high-energy mill according to the mechanochemical theory, compared with the same adsorbent micronized by conventional milling.

2 BASIC THEORY

FGD processes differ mainly for the technique (*wet, dry* or *semi-wet*) and the adsorbents used (CaO, *lime*; CaCO₃, *limestone*; NaOH+Na₂CO₃, *dual-alkali*). Wet-FGD, where the flue gas is washed in a scrubber fed countercurrent by a

¹ Sulphur dioxide, nitrogen oxides, volatile organic compounds, ammonia, particulate matter and methane.

² The stoichiometric S content in solid fuels to meet SO_2 emission limits is 0.23%.

limestone slurry, is the most popular, in spite of the amount of wastewater to be treated before discharge and the large facilities (ponds) usually required to reclaim the slow- settling CaSO₃.

Basic FGD chemistry calls for a 3-phasic reaction, represented in simplified form by

(1)
$$Ca^{++} + SO_{2(g)} + H_2O \iff CaSO_{3(s)} + 2H^+$$
.

Gaseous SO₂ facing the slurry is captured by Ca⁺⁺ ion to form poor-soluble (0.045 g/L at 20°C) slowly settling CaSO₃.

It is often preferred to oxidize SO_2 to SO_3 in order to obtain $CaSO_4$, more soluble than $CaSO_3$ (2 g/L at 20°C), but potentially marketable as *gypsium* (CaSO₄·2H₂O). Basic FGD chemistry then is written as a redox/precipitation process:

(2)
$$\operatorname{Ca}^{++} + \operatorname{SO}_{2(g)} + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{O}_{2(g)} + 3\operatorname{H}_2 O \iff \operatorname{CaSO}_4 \cdot 2\operatorname{H}_2 O_{(s)} + 2\operatorname{H}^+.$$

Wet-FGD must reach up to 98% efficiency, depending on S-content of the fuel used. To that aim, adsorbent physiochemical characteristics (particle size, specific surface, CaCO₃ purity etc.) must be considered in addition to operating conditions (pH and concentration of slurry, gas/liquid flow ratio, adsorbent excess, type and concentration of the oxidant agent, scrubber geometry etc.). Limestone for wet-FGD application must typically exhibit \leq 95% CaCO₃ purity, D_{0.90} \leq 50 µm (i.e., 90% of powder passing the 50 µm mesh size) and \geq 1 m²/g specific surface.

The addition of Mg^{++} to the scrubber slurry can increase SO_2 removal efficiency to as high as 99%, due the higher solubility (hence faster Mg^{++} reactivity with sulfite/sulfate ions) of Magnesium than Calcium carbonate [10]. Accordingly, the use of *dolomite* (mixed Ca and Mg carbonate) is often preferred in FGD plants of large power stations [11].

For FGD applications limestone must undergo micronization, a unit operation quite common in mineral industry, where plenty of equipment with different grinding tools (balls, hammers, rollers, blades etc.) exhist and are effectively used [12-13]. Minerals micronization, however, is characterized by poor energy efficiency ($5\div25\%$), exponentially decreasing with particle size, and by the increase of particle size with prolonged micronizing duration, due to *clustering* of the micro-particles [14].

Mechanochemistry (MC) is a known technique where solids are micronized by the action of the mechanical forces developed during collisions, capable of modifying the solid structure at nanoscale level, thus greatly enhancing its reactivity and allowing for unfavorable reactions to occur even at room conditions [15÷19]. Used successfully in several fields (e.g., preparation of catalysts, functional ceramics etc.), in the last decade MC has also been proposed with promising results for environment protection, e.g., treatment of hazardous waste [20-21], reuse of waste [22-23], decontamination of soils [24] and marine sediments [25].

Although based on different mechanisms (planetary, attrition, nutation etc.), H/E mills typically consist in a rapidly rotating (\leq 1,000 rpm) reactor containing and a number of steel balls that collide thousands of times per second, compressing the reactants at extreme pressure and temperature (\geq 1.000°C) for extremely short time-span (\geq 10⁻¹³ s) [16]. Such myriad collisions produce the "*mechanochemical activation*" of the reactants through solid matrix deformation and transition from elastic to plastic morphology as well as sound-speed propagating fractures into the solid matrix that promote and, moreover, increase to the 3rd power the number of existing active sites, thus boosting exponentially the overall reactivity of the system [26].

3 MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two types of limestone from a major private quarry (Italcave SpA, near Taranto, S. Italy) were considered, made by pure calcite and by dolomite (CaCO₃⁻MgCO₃ with \approx 2/1 Ca/Mg molar ratio) respectively. Tab.1 reports their characteristics (ASTM standards), Fig.1 their typical DTG and DTA profile (STA 409 thermo-analyzer, Netzsch, I).

Parameter (%)w/w	Calcite	Dolomite (code IC_T00-12)				
CaCO ₃	99.13	61.11				
MgCO ₃	0.12	18.94				
Al ₂ O ₃	0,05	5.93				
Fe ₂ O ₃	0.02	5.85				
SiO ₂	0.04	5.63				
Sn	0.52	0.04				
Pb	0.84	0.92				
Mn	<0.01	<0.01				
Cu	<0.01	1.58				
Cd	<0.01	<0.01				
LOI ⁽¹⁾	39.57	42.36				
H ₂ O	3.09	2.11				
рН	9.12					
Bulk density (kg/L)	2.671					
D _{0,9} (μm) ⁽²⁾	3,000	3,0000				
Specific surface (m ² /g)	1,2	0,9				
Color	Pale Yellow	Bright white				

Table 1. Typical characteristics of calcite and dolomite samples investigated

⁽¹⁾Loss On Ignition = weight loss after calcination; ⁽²⁾ mesh-size passed by 90% of product



Fig.1. DTG (above) and DTA analysis (below) of the limestone investigated

In order to exploit Mg beneficial effect toward SO₂ absorption, only the dolomite product was used in FGD experiments. To that aim suitable samples of IC_T00-12 product were micronized to $D_{0,9} \le 50 \mu m$ with a laboratory Hicom 15 nutation H/E mill (Hicom Technol., Pinkeba, Australia) (Fig.2 and Tab.2) under different operating conditions.



Fig.2. Scheme of Hicom 15 nutation H/E mill

Table 2. Technical characteristics and operating conditions of the Hicom 15 Nutation H/E mill

Jar net volume and material	5.3 L, Stainless Steel AISI 304				
Milling balls diameter and material	Ø 10 mm, Stainless Steel AISI 420C				

At given intervals, a sample of the milled material was analyzed for size granulometry (Laser Mastersize 2000, Malvern, UK) and for specific surface (Monosorb BET Analyzer, Quantachrome, FL).

To compare the sulfation capability of dolomite micronized by H/E and by a conventional roller mill (type PM 60 U3, Neuman & Esser, Germany), code DE, the FGD tests were carried out according to [9] with the lab set shown in Fig.3.



Fig.3. Flow-sheet of the FGD laboratory set

An Esedra Plus 3.5 glass reactor (Solaris Biotechnologies, Italy), containing 2L of distilled water thermostated at 25°C and stirred at 700 rpm, was added with enough micronized dolomite and concentrated HCl to achieve a 1% slurry concentration at pH 7.6. A synthetic gas mixture mimicking typical flue gas from a coal power plant (10% CO₂, 5% O₂, 0.5% SO₂ and a balance of N₂ by volume, expressly prepared by Sapio, Italy) was than fed into the reactor at a constant flow rate (100 ml/min) for 6 hours. Two traps in series on the outgoing gas stream, containing 125 ml of 0.05N NaOH and 30% H₂O₂, confirmed that during the test no SO_{2/3} escaped from the reactor (\approx 100% SO₂ absorption). During each test, 5 mL aliquots of the slurry were withdrawn every hour and the amount of $SO_{2/3}$ absorbed was determined by standard iodometric titration.

4 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

4.1 **HIGH ENERGY MICRONIZATION TESTS**

Tab.3 shows the characteristics of ground dolomite sample (code IC T00-12) and after H/E micronization in various operating conditions, compared with the corresponding conventionally-micronized product (code DE). Fig.4 reports particlesize distribution in the various samples, from which the D_i values in Tab.3 were calculated.

Table 3.	${\it Characteristics} \ of \ the \ investigated \ dolomite \ micronized \ by \ H/E \ or \ by \ conventional \ mill$
	,, _,

Sample	Milling	Milling	rpm	b	С	b:c	D _{0,10}	D _{0,50}	D _{0,90}	S
code	technique	time		(kg)	(kg)		(µm)	(µm)	(µm)	(m²/g)
DE	Conventional	≥30′					2,9	12,2	84,2	0,88
IC_T00-12	H/E	/	/	/	/	/			>3.000	1,20
IC_V15-4	H/E	10′	930	9,41	0,79	12:1	1,5	5,3	25,2	13,10
IC_V25-3	H/E	20′	930	9,41	0,79	12:1	2,0	14,0	64,2	15,70
IC_RY-2	H/E	30'	930	9,41	0,79	12:1	1,9	11,2	65,7	13,50
IC_14-11	H/E	10′	770	4,89	2,45	2:1	1,5	5,4	31,9	
IC_3C-10	H/E	10′	770	8,30	1,38	6.1	1,6	7,6	42,9	
IC_78-9	H/E	10′	770	9,41	0,79	12:1	1,6	7,9	45,3	

b = total milling body weight; c = total dolomite weight; D_i = mesh-size passed by i-th % of product; S = specific surface



Fig.4. Particle-size distribution on samples of H/E or conventionally micronized dolomite samples

First of all, it must be noted that, as already seen with DE sample, containing higher Fe and Cu amount (Tab.1), micronization allowed almost complete color disappearance, yielding bright whiteness (i.e., higher market value) to all samples, due to a modified position of the chromophore in the new crystallographic morphology [22].

From the data in Tab.3 it clearly appears that in the experimental conditions investigated H/E micronization permits to reach the target solid size ($D_{0.90} \leq 50 \mu m$) faster ($\leq 10'$) than with conventional mills ($\geq 30'$), with a relatively low rotation speed (700 rpm) and high (2:1) reactor filling ratio (see sample IC_14-11 *vs.* DE), i.e., with lower overall energy consumption. This is

particularly important in the mineral industry due to the well-known poor efficiency of grinding operation, where a major energy quote of is lost with loud noise, thermal dissipation and friction resistances. The higher energetic efficiency of H/E micronization was anticipated by the well-known mechanical Bond theory, as recently updated [27], based on the Work Index (W_i), i.e., the energy required to comminute from ∞ to 100 μ m the mean diameter of 1g of solid [kWh/t].

Fig.5 shows the general grinding-energy function, embodying the major theoretic models that relate energy consumption with material size [28].



Fig.5. Major models relating grinding energy consumption with final solid size

Best curve-fitting in Fig.5 is provided by the following differential equation [29]:

$$3) \quad (dW/d\emptyset) = - K/\emptyset^{x}$$

where

W = overall grinding energy consumption [kWh/t]

K = costant

Ø = solid diameter (μ m)

and the exponent x = 2, 1 or 1,5 in the model of Rittinger, Kick-Kirpicev or Bond rispectively.

(

In the Ø range 1÷100 μ m interesting this study Bond theory prevails hence eq. (3) becomes:

(4) W =
$$10^{\times} W_i [(1/\sqrt{0}) - (1/\sqrt{0})].$$

By means of a laboratory H/E planetary ball mill, W_i was measured experimentally for a number of minerals, including dolomite (W_i = 11.3kWh/t) and calcite (W_i = 12.7 kWh/t) [28]. Using conservatively this latter value, $Ø_0 \approx 3.000 \,\mu\text{m}$ and $Ø_{0,9} = 45,3 \,\mu\text{m}$ for the unmilled and H/E micronized mineral (samples IC_T00-12 an IC_78-9 respectively in Tab.3), eq. (4) yields W $\approx 16,6 \,\text{kwh/t}$, a figure largely lower than expected with conventional mills [7]. Though real figures with full scale mills may vary with plant characteristics and operating conditions, it may be anticipated that at least a $\approx 50\%$ overall energy saving would occur if dolomite micronization is carried out using H/E instead of conventional mills.

The experimental results in Tab.3 are plotted in Figs.6 and 7 to show the influence of milling time on specific surface and particle size of the mineral.



Fig.6. Influence of H/E milling duration on micronized mineral size

As expected, Fig.6 shows that milling beyond an optimal duration causes an increase of mean particle size, due to known phenomena of *clustering* among micro and nanoparticles already formed [30].

Quite interestingly, the plot in Fig.7 indicates that conventional micronization does not produce finite increase of dolomite specific surface, which in turn may increase up to \approx 1500% when an H/E mill is used. Being gas absorption and, in general, solids reactivity dependent on specific surface, this finding may have a paramount importance in practical limestone application like FGD.



Fig.7. Influence of H/E milling duration (min) on the specific surface of the micronized solid

4.2 FLUE GAS DESORPTION TESTS

Fig.8 shows the results of FGD laboratory tests with conventionally and H/E micronized dolomite.



Fig.8. Results of laboratory FGD tests

(• H/E micronized sample, code IC_V15-04; O conventionally micronized sample, code DE)

As expected, experimental results indicate a much better FGD perfomance with H/E micronized than with conventionally micronized mineral in terms of both thermodynamics (equilibrium absorption capacity \approx 650 vs. \approx 400 mg_{sO2}/mL_{slurry}) and kinetics (\approx 140 vs. \approx 90 mg_{sO2}/mL_{slurry}xh). This finding stems from the higher specific surface and, moreover, from the much higher number of active sites obtained through H/E micronization, according to the mechanochemical theory [30].

Furthermore, the present results ($\approx 400 \text{ mg}_{sO2}/\text{mL}_{slurry}$) with conventionally micronized dolomite are much better than $\approx 60 \text{ mg}_{sO2}/\text{mL}_{slurry}$ obtained in similar laboratory FGD tests with various Turkish limestones [8-9], thus confirming the positive influence of Mg on sulfation.

5 CONCLUSIONS

According to the well-established mechanochemistry theory, mineral micronization with High Energy instead of conventional mills increases the solids reactivity through higher specific surface and more activated sites. This has been confirmed in FGD laboratory tests, where H/E micronized dolomite showed \approx 50% higher and faster SO₂ uptake performance than the same product micronized with conventional mill. Further benefits were due to the appreciable presence of Mg ion in the mineral.

It may be concluded that the use of H/E micronized dolomite in FGD plants at coal-fueled power stations would allow consistent savings in terms of energy efficiency, process rate and material consumption.

REFERENCES

- [1] EU Dir. proposal 2013/0443, "Reducing national emission of certain atmospheric pollutants", 20 Dec. 2013.
- [2] EU Dir.2015/2193, "Limiting certain pollutants emission into air from medium combustion plants", 25 Nov.2015.
- [3] Italian Legislative Decree No.155/10, "Acknowledging EU directive 2008/50/CE on air quality", 13 Aug. 2010.
- [4] Shrivastan R.K., Jozewicz W., "Flue gas desulfation: The state of the art", J. Air Waste Manage. Assoc. 51 (2001) pp. 1676-1688.
- [5] NIOSH OMSHR and IMA-NA, "Dust Control Handbook for Industrial Minerals Mining and Processing", 2013.
- [6] Montani C., "Marble and Rocks in the World", XXV Report, 2014.
- [7] Prasad D.S.N., Sharma R., Acharya S., Saxena M., Sharma A.K., "Removal of sulfur dioxide from flue gases in thermal plants", Rasayan J. Chem. 3, 2 (2010) pp. 328-334.
- [8] Hosten C., Gulsun M., "Reactivity of limestones from different sources in Turkey", Min. Eng. 17 (2004) pp. 97-99.
- [9] Ozyuguran A., Ersoy-Mericboyu A., "FGD by limestone and hydrated lime slurries",

- [10] Devitt T., Gerstle R., Gibbs L., Hartman S., Klier R., "FGD system capabilities for coal-fired steam generators", EPA-600/7-78-032b, 1978.
- [11] Pistillo L., Scarano J., "Operation experience of FGD plants at Brindisi power station", ENEL Technical Report, Sept. 2005 (in Italian).
- [12] Harben P. W., "The Industrial Minerals Hand-book, Industrial Minerals Information", Surrey, UK, 2002.
- [13] Kogel E. J., "Industrial Minerals and Rocks", Hoepli Ed., 2006.
- [14] Heiman M., "Economics of grinding for pelleted feed" 2014.
- [15] Boldyrev V.V., Meyer K., "Festkorperchemie", Beitrage Forschung Praxis, Verlag, Lipzig, 1973.
- [16] Balaz P., "Mechanochemistry in Nanoscience and Minerals Engineering", Springer, 2008.
- [17] Sopicka-Lizer (Ed.), "High-Energy Ball Milling, Mechanochemical Processing of Nanopowders", Woodhead Publishing, Cambridge, UK, 2010.
- [18] Friscic T., Halasz I., Beldon P.J., Belenguer A.M., Adams F., Kimber S.A.J., Honkimaki V., Dinnebier R.E., "Real-time and *in situ* monitoring of mechanochemical milling reactions", Nature Chem. 5 (2013) pp. 66-73.
- [19] Takacs L., "The historical development of mechanochemistry", Chem. Soc. Rev. 42 (2013) pp. 7649-7659.
- [20] Birke V., Mattik J., Runne D., "Mechanochemical reductive dehalogenation of hazardous poly-halogenated compounds", J. Mat. Sci. 39 (2004) pp. 5111-5116.
- [21] Montinaro S., Concas A., Pisu M., Cao G., "Remediation of heavy metals contaminated soils by ball milling", Chemopshere 67 (2007) pp. 631-639.
- [22] Balaz P., Achimovicova M., Balaz M., Billik P., Cherkezova-Zheleva Z., Criado J.M., Delogu F., Dutkova E., Gaffet E., Gotor F.J., Kumar R., Mitov I., Rojac T., Senna M., Streletskii A., Wieczorek-Ciurowa K., "Hallmarks of mechanochemistry: from nanoparticles to technology", Chem., Soc. Rev. 42 (2013) pp. 7571-7637.
- [23] Balaz M., Bujnakova Z., Balaz P., Pap Z., Zorkovska A., Dankova Z., Briancin J., "Adsorption of Cadmium(II) on waste biomaterial", J. Colloid Interfase Sci. 454 (2015) pp.121-133.
- [24] Guo X., Xiang D., Duan G., Mou P., "A review of mechanochemistry applications in waste management", Waste Manage. 30 (2010) pp. 4-10.
- [25] Cagnetta G., Intini G., Liberti L., Lomowsky O.I., Boldyrev V.V., "The Biomec[®] process for mechanochemical biodegradation of PCBs in marine sediments", J. Soil Seds. 15, 1 (2015) pp. 240-248.
- [26] Boldyrev V.V., "Mechanonichemistry of inorganic solids", Proc. Indian Nat. sci. Acad. 52 8 (1986) pp. 400-417.
- [27] Gotor F.J., Achimovicova M., Real C., Balaz P., "Influence of the milling parameters on the mechanical work intensity in planetary mills", Powder Technol. 233 (2013) pp. 1-7.
- [28] Daghia B., "Experimental study on grinding energy through the Bond Index for ceramics", MS Thesis, University of Bologna (I), 2008 (in Italian).
- [29] Hukki R.T., "Proposal for a Salomonic settlement between the theories of von Rittinger, Kick and Bond", Trans. Amer. Inst. Min. Eng. 220 (1961) pp. 403-408.
- [30] Delogu F., Mulas G. (Eds), "Experimental and Theoretical Studies in Modern Mechanochemistry", Transworld Res. Network, Kerala, India, 2010.
- [31] Guzzo P.L., Santos J.B., David R.C., "Particle size distribution and structural changes in limestone ground in planetary ball mill", Int. J. Min. Proc. 126 (2014) p.p. 41-48.